

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|--|
| Family Name | Blezard |
| Given Name | Claire |
| Person ID | 1287009 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Blezard |
| Given Name | Claire |
| Person ID | 1287009 |
| Title | JPA 35: North of Mosley Common |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | NA |
| Soundness - Effective? | NA |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | NA |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | NA |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | <p>Negative impact on already strained infrastructures - education, health and in particular road network which is not sufficient to manage extra demand and would become unsafe. Commute in any direction from north Mosley common at peak times is already heavily congested. Living REDACTED TEXT quite close to the Guided bus Lane already provides parking issues for us and this will only increase.</p> <p>There will be a significant impact on local wildlife and a marked reduction in air quality.</p> <p>On a personal basis we live where we do for for a very good reason which is the open and very private view and moving here was part part of my partners retirement plan. Our property will decrease tremendously in value and appeal if this proposal goes ahead.</p> <p>I feel that alternative brownfield sites are available and alternatives should be considered.</p> <p>This proposal seems very much the rebirth of the Spatial Framework plan.</p> |